

United States Patent and Trademark Office

7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,294	01/29/2004	Alain Fournier	ST98045 US DIV	6236	
5487	7590 05/11/2006	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROSS J. O	EHLER HARMACEUTICALS INC	HUTSON, R	HUTSON, RICHARD G		
1041 ROUTE 202-206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL CODE: D303A			1652		
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicació(a)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summans	10/767,294	FOURNIER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Richard G. Hutson	1652		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's preliminary amendment canceling claims 1-3 and 6-16 and amending claims 4 and 5, in the paper of 1/29/2004, is acknowledged. Claims 4 and 5 are at issue and are present for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants filing of information disclosures, Paper No. 9, filed 1/29/2004, is acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

Claim Objections

Claims 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 4 recite "...comprising the amino acid sequence SEQ ID No: 4..." This should be "...comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No: 4..."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Application/Control Number: 10/767,294

Art Unit: 1652

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are directed to all possible polypeptides capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α (claim 4), comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No: 4, or a derivative thereof (claim 5). The specification, however, only provides a single representative species of polypeptide encompassed by these claims, that polypeptide molecule consisting of SEQ ID No: 4. There is no disclosure of any particular structure to function/activity relationship in the single disclosed species. The specification also fails to describe additional representative species of these nucleic acid molecules by any identifying structural characteristics or properties other than the activity recited in claim 4, for which no predictability of structure is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polypeptide capable of interacting with topoisomerase IIIα, wherein said polypeptide comprises the amino acid sequence of

SEQ ID No: 4, does not reasonably provide enablement for any nucleic acid molecule that encode a polypeptide capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α . The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) as follows: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claim(s).

Claims 4 and 5 are so broad as to encompass any polypeptide capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α wherein said polypeptide comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No: 4, or a derivative thereof . The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of polypeptides broadly encompassed by the claims, including any polypeptide capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α and derivatives thereof. The claims rejected under this section of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, do not place any structural limits on the claimed polypeptides. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired

activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited that polypeptide capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α , wherein said polypeptide comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No: 4.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass all modifications and fragments of any polypeptide capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α , because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting its ability to interact with topoisomerase III α ; (B) the general tolerance of such topoisomerase III α interacting proteins to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residue of a topoisomerase III α interacting protein, with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the

specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful. Because of this lack of guidance, the extended experimentation that would be required to determine which substitutions would be acceptable to retain the topoisomerase interaction ability claimed and the fact that the relationship between the sequence of a peptide and its tertiary structure (i.e. its activity) are not well understood and are not predictable (e.g., see Ngo et al. in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, Ref: U, Form-892), it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to arrive at the majority of those polypeptides of the claimed genus.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including any protein that interacts with topoisomerase IIIα and derivatives thereof. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Chung et al. (Korean J. Biochemistry, Vol. 27, 1995, pages 193-1971, see applicants international search report).

Chung et al. teach the cloning and characterization of a nucleic acid molecule and the encoded protein, HLP2 (Human helicase-like protein 2), which is capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α . It is acknowledged that Chung et al. to not teach that the protein is capable of interacting with topoisomerase III α , but this is considered to be an inherent property of the protein encoded by the nucleic acid molecule taught by Chung et al., based on the greater then 99 % identity between the nucleic acid molecule taught by Chung et al. and that of instantly disclosed SEQ ID NO: 3.

Thus, Chung et al. anticipates claims 4 and 5.

Remarks

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,294 Page 8

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

Rgh 5/2/2006